

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin filed Findings and Recommendation on May 6, 2010, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc.,

656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Plaintiff has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given de novo review of Magistrate Judge Coffin's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Coffin's Findings and Recommendation filed May 6, 2010, in its entirety. The Commissioner's decision is affirmed and the clerk of court is directed to enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 21st day of June, 2010.

Michael E. Hogan
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE